

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	1	
09/688,465	10/16/2000		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
		Bernhard Schatzler	GR 97 P 1049 D	1415
	90 05/24/2004			
LERNER AN	D GREENBERG, PA		EXAMINER	
POBOX 2480			PAREKH, NITIN	
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner		Offic Action Summan	nd.				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of time may be available and the second and the SEX (6) MONTHS from the making date of the second and the SEX (6) MONTHS from the making date of the second and the SEX (6) MONTHS from the making date of the second and the SEX (6) MONTHS from the making date of the second and the SEX (6) MONTHS from the making date of the second and the SEX (6) MONTHS from the making date of the second and the SEX (6) MONTHS from the making date of the second and the SEX (6) MONTHS from the second and	نائي ۾ وال		Examiner	<u></u>			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Enteriors of time may be available under the provisions of 37 miles. In control of the month of the provisions of 37 miles. In control of the provision of 17 miles. In the control of the provision of 37 miles. It is a set to the time of the provision of 37 miles. It is a set to the time of the provision of 37 miles. It is a set to the time of the provision of 37 miles. It is a set to the time of the provision of 37 miles. It is a set to the time of the control of the provision of t		The MALL NO DO	Nitin Parekhara and a second	1			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under communications (1.13(d)). In or event, however, may a reply be briefly fined other box (6) MONTHS from brailing date of the communications (1.13(d)). In or event, however, may a reply be briefly fined other box (6) MONTHS from brailing date of the communications (1.13(d)). In or event, however, may a reply be briefly fined other brailing date of the communications (1.13(d)) and application of the communication (1.13(d)). The property of the communication (1.13(d)) and application (1.13(d)) and the communication (1.13(d)) and application (1.13(d)). The property of the communication (1.13(d)) and application (1.13(d)) and application (1.13(d)). So the communication (1.13(d)) and application (1.13(d)) and accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quaylo, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4b) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4c) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are epicted. 7) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 8) The accordance with the practice of the communication. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Paper to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Paper box 1.13(d) See 1.13(d		Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Q9 March 2004</i> . 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3] ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) ☐ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-942) Paper No(s)/Mail Date ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Faper No(s)/Mail Date ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) ☐ Other: ☐ Other: ☐ Other ☐ Oth		A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS.	S) FROM nely filed s will be considered timely.			
This action is FINAL. 2b)							
Disposition of Claims 4)		3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowand	action is non-final.	secution as to the merits is			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2000 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	produce under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2000 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3. Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
10 \(\times \) The drawing(s) filed on \(\frac{16 \text{ October 2000}}{16 \text{ Interview Summary (PTO-413)}}\) accepted or b)\(\text{ objected to by the Examiner.} \) Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)\(\text{ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.} \) Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. \(\frac{5}{3}\) 119 12 \(\text{ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. \(\frac{5}{3}\) 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)\(\text{ All b}\) \(\text{ Some * c}\) \(\text{ None of:} \\ 1.\(\text{ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.} \) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \(Some of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)	'						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) PTOI-326 (Rev 1.04)	P	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152					
2. Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	,	Z. Certilled copies of the priority documents have been received in A					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)							
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	1) [2) [Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO 1440 or PTO 1948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent	·			
Office Action Cumpus	U.S. Pa PTOL	atent and Trademark Office	6) [_] Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara (US Pat. 5498902) in view of Lim et al. (US Pat. 5773878) and Reusch (US Pat. 4534105).

Regarding claim 1, Hara discloses an electronic component comprising:

- a flat pack/FP (6 in Fig. 11)
- a housing made of a casting/plastic molding/encapsulant (6 in Fig. 11)
- a lead frame (2/3/5 in Fig. 11) having an island/die pad with a continuous/unpatterned base area (2 in Fig. 11) supporting an integrated circuit (IC)/die (106 in Fig. 11)
- the IC/semiconductor element (1 in Fig. 11) being conventionally
 bonded/adhesively mounted (bonding adhesive not numerically referenced in

Application/Control Number: 09/688,465

Art Unit: 2811

Fig. 11; Col. 1, line 23) to the island/die pad, the base area of the IC being smaller than that of the island/die pad, and

 the IC/die and the island/die pad being embedded in the housing so that a thickness of the housing region above the IC is substantially equal to that below the island/die pad (see Fig. 11)

(Fig. 11; Col. 1, lines 20-35).

Hara further teaches the outer leads extending in four directions such that the leads can have different orientation and shapes such as quad flat pack (QFP), plastic leaded chip carrier (PLCC), etc. (Col. 11, lines 43-54) and the packages being made thin and small to achieve the desired yield, function and application requirements (Col. 12, lines 12-40).

Hara fails to:

- explicitly teach in Fig. 11, the thickness of the housing region above the IC being substantially equal to that below the island/die pad, and
- teach the ratio between the base area of the IC and that of the island being 0.7-0.9 for avoiding the flexure of the housing.

Lim et al. teach using the thickness of a molding/housing region above the IC being substantially equal to that below the die pad/island (see X and Y in Fig. 4A) so that deformation/flexure of the package/housing can be prevented (Col. 3, lines 23-30).

Application/Control Number: 09/688,465

Art Unit: 2811

Lim et al. further teach the die pad/island having a base area dimensions of 14 mm \times 14 mm (Col. 1, line 35).

Reusch teaches a lead frame package having a chip support pad/island where a minimum clearance between the chip edge and the support pad/island is from 5-15 mils (0.125- 0.370 mm) to prevent the defects due to an adhesive overflow and to improve electrical performance (Col. 1, lines 10-35).

The above clearance dimensions from Reusch on length/width dimensions of Lim et al's die pad/island (14mmx14mm) yields base the base area/chip area being 189 sq. mm and 175 sq. mm for 5 mil and 15 mil clearance respectively, resulting in a ratio of the base area of the IC and that of the die pad/island being in a range of 0.96 to 0.89 respectively.

Furthermore, the determination/selection of the parameters such as the dimensions and respective range of the IC chip and die pad/island including width, thickness, shape, area/area ratio, resin thickness etc. in chip packaging and encapsulation is a subject of routine experimentation and optimization to achieve the desired support/rigidity and reduced stress, warpage and encapsulation related defects in the plastic package.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to arrive at a ratio between the base area of the IC and that of the island of 0.7- 0.9 for avoiding the flexure of the housing as taught by Lim et al. and Reusch so that the thermal stress and the overall size/weight of the package can be

Art Unit: 2811

reduced and a variety of die sizes can be accommodated in Hara's electronic component.

Regarding claim 2, Hara, Lim et al. and Reusch teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claim 1 above, except a hollow groove formed on the IC by an amount of an adhesive emerged from between the IC and the island.

Lim et al. further teach an adhesive bonding (18 in Fig. 2) of the IC to the island (Col. 2, line 9) and a hollow groove shape/fillet being formed by emerged adhesive at the notch/groove/overhang portion of the island (see a corner/edge portion between 20 and 14 in Fig. 2).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to incorporate the hollow groove being formed on the IC by the amount of an adhesive emerged from between the IC and the island as taught by Lim et al. so that the die bonding can be strengthened in Lim et al., Reusch and Hara's electronic component.

Regarding claim 3, Hara, Lim et al. and Reusch teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claim 1 above, wherein Hara teaches the island/die pad (110 in Fig. 1) being a continuous/unpatterned area (see Fig. 11).

Application/Control Number: 09/688,465

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 4, Hara, Lim et al. and Reusch teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claim 1 above, wherein Hara teaches conventional linking support/leads being routed to the island/die pad (see 35 in Fig. 3).

Regarding claim 5, Hara, Lim et al. and Reusch teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claim 1 above, wherein Hara teaches the lead frame including the leads being vertically centered within the housing and the island/die pad being vertically lowered with respect to the leads (see 3 and 2 respectively in Fig. 11).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nitin Parekh whose telephone number is 703-305-3410. The examiner can normally be reached on 09:00AM-05:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-3431.

lutur Parelch NITIN PAREKH

PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

05-10-04

NP